

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/939,327	ZHAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan Diamond	1753	

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) Alan Diamond.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Macevicz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2005

Time: 12:13 p.m. ET

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend claims 1 and 25 as is set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)